Journal of Medical & Health Sciences Review

Medical & Health Science Review

NURSE LED PATIENT EDUCATION ON HEALTH OUTCOMES AND PATIENT SATISFACTION AT MEDICARE HOSPITAL MULTAN

Memoona Bibi[1], Mamoona Yousaf[2], Urooj Saleem[3], Nuzhat Sher[4], Arooj Fatima[5]

[1]Post RN, BS(N), final year, Medicare College of Nursing, Multan
Email: monazafar6060@gmail.com
[2]Post RN, BS(N), final year, Medicare College of Nursing, Multan
Email: memoonayousaf98@gmail.com
[3]Post RN, BS(N), final year, Medicare College of Nursing, Multan
Email: uroojsaleem2023@gmail.com
[4]Principal, Medicare College of Nursing, Multan, Email: Nuzhatsher12@gmail.com
[5]Vice Principal, Medicare College of Nursing, Multan, Email: fatimaarooj223@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Background: Effective patient education is a critical component of nursing care, influencing health outcomes, self-care behaviors, and patient satisfaction. Nurse-led educational interventions have demonstrated positive effects in managing chronic diseases, yet their implementation and impact in tertiary care settings in Multan remain underexplored.

Objective: To evaluate the effect of structured nurse-led patient education on health outcomes and patient satisfaction among adult patients at Medicare Hospital Multan.

Methods: A quasi-experimental pre-test/post-test design was employed, involving 120 adult patients with chronic or acute conditions. Participants received structured nurse-led education covering disease understanding, medication adherence, lifestyle management, and symptom recognition. Data on patient knowledge, self-care behaviors, clinical indicators (blood pressure, fasting blood glucose, BMI), and patient satisfaction were collected pre- and post-intervention using validated tools. Data were analyzed using descriptive and inferential statistics, including paired t-tests and correlation analyses, with significance set at $p < 0.05$.

Results: Post-intervention, significant improvements were observed in knowledge scores (10.5 ± 3.2 to 16.8 ± 2.5, $p < 0.001$), self-care behaviors (5.2 ± 1.8 to 8.1 ± 1.2, $p < 0.001$), and clinical outcomes, including systolic blood pressure (145 ± 12 to 132 ± 10 mmHg, $p < 0.001$), diastolic blood pressure (92 ± 8 to 85 ± 6 mmHg, $p < 0.001$), and fasting blood glucose (160 ± 25 to 130 ± 20 mg/dL, $p < 0.001$). Patient satisfaction scores also increased significantly (15.5 ± 2.3 to 22.7 ± 1.8, p

< 0.001).

Conclusion: Structured nurse-led patient education significantly enhances patient knowledge, self-care behaviors, clinical outcomes, and satisfaction. Integrating nurse-led educational programs into routine care can improve disease management and patient-centered care in tertiary hospital settings.

INTRODUCTION

The role of nurses in promoting, maintaining, and restoring health has evolved significantly over the past several decades, with patient education emerging as one of the most central components of modern nursing practice(Kattach et al., 2025). As global healthcare systems shift from disease-focused models to patient-centered and preventive care approaches, the importance of equipping individuals with the knowledge and skills required to manage their health effectively has become increasingly recognized(Aveyard and Bradbury-Jones, 2019).

Nurse-led patient education has therefore emerged not only as a clinical responsibility but also as an evidence-based strategy associated with improved health outcomes, enhanced patient satisfaction, and strengthened self-care competencies across a wide range of populations and healthcare settings(Paier-Abuzahra et al., 2024a). This growing emphasis on nurse-led education reflects broader transformations within health systems worldwide, which increasingly acknowledge that informed, empowered, and engaged patients make better health decisions, adhere more consistently to treatment regimens, and experience improved quality of life(Paier-Abuzahra et al., 2024b). In this context, the present study seeks to explore and evaluate the impact of structured nurse-led patient education on health outcomes and patient satisfaction within the unique educational and clinical environment of Medicare Nursing College Multan.

Across the literature, patient education is defined as a systematic process through which healthcare professionals impart knowledge related to diseases, treatment plans, medication use, symptom recognition, lifestyle modification, and self-management strategies(Osborne et al., 2020). When nurses deliver this education, the impact is often more pronounced due to their continuous proximity to patients, their foundational training in therapeutic communication, and their holistic approach that addresses physical, emotional, social, and psychological dimensions of health(Morgner et al., 2025).

Furthermore, nurses possess the unique ability to translate complex medical information into practical, understandable, and culturally appropriate knowledge that patients can integrate into their daily lives. This ability positions nurses as essential educators whose influence extends beyond immediate clinical encounters into long-term patient behaviors, self-efficacy, and well-being(Taylor and Staruchowicz, 2012).

Globally, chronic diseases such as diabetes, hypertension, cardiovascular disorders, cancer, and chronic respiratory conditions represent the leading causes of morbidity and mortality, accounting for nearly 71% of deaths worldwide(Deschodt et al., 2024). These conditions require sustained management, lifestyle modification, medication adherence, and continuous monitoring, all of which are strengthened by effective patient education(Leaviss et al., 2020). International evidence demonstrates that nurse-led education programs significantly improve clinical indicators such as glycemic control, blood pressure levels, lipid profiles, wound healing, postoperative

recovery, and symptom management(McFarlane et al., 2025).

Studies across Europe, North America, and Asia show that when patients receive structured education delivered by trained nurses, their health outcomes improve consistently across different disease conditions(Bain et al., 2014). For example, diabetic patients show significant reductions in HbA1c levels following nurse-led educational interventions, while hypertensive patients demonstrate better adherence to medication and improved blood pressure control(Cates and Karner, 2013). Similarly, postoperative patients who receive preoperative and postoperative education from nurses often exhibit reduced anxiety, better pain control, fewer complications, and earlier recovery(Skela-Savič et al., 2025).

The impact of nurse-led education is not limited to physiological outcomes; it also significantly influences patients' psychosocial well-being and overall satisfaction with healthcare services(Ishikawa et al., 2025). Patient satisfaction is widely recognized as a critical indicator of healthcare quality and is influenced by communication, information provision, interpersonal interactions, and the degree to which patients feel listened to, understood, and involved in their care(Kredo et al., 2014).

Previous research shows that patients consistently rate nurses as the most approachable and trusted members of the healthcare team. They often attribute high levels of satisfaction to nurses' ability to provide clear explanations, demonstrate empathy, and offer reassurance(Kattach et al., 2025). Nurse-led education improves satisfaction because it fosters patient–nurse relationships, strengthens trust, and reduces uncertainty and anxiety. When patients understand their diagnosis, treatment plan, medication schedule, warning signs, and preventive strategies, they feel more confident

and engaged in their care, which directly enhances their satisfaction(Preuss et al., 2025).

Despite this extensive global evidence, patient education practices in many low- and middle-income countries, including Pakistan, remain inconsistent, unstructured, and inadequately implemented. Pakistan's healthcare system faces a range of systemic challenges, including limited resources, high patient loads, low health literacy, and insufficient emphasis on patient-centered care. Many patients lack basic knowledge about their illnesses, treatment regimens, and medications(Mahdi et al., 2022). Health literacy levels in Pakistan are among the lowest globally, with studies indicating that a significant proportion of the population is unable to understand prescription instructions, medication labels, and disease-related guidance(Eddy et al., 2016). This lack of health literacy contributes to poor disease management, delayed treatment seeking, high rates of complications, and increased healthcare utilization. Nurse-led education has the potential to address these gaps by providing patients with accessible, relevant, and culturally appropriate information that supports informed decision-making and enhances health outcomes(Mu et al., 2015).

This study is therefore grounded in the recognition that nurse-led patient education has the potential to transform patient care within the clinical settings affiliated with Medicare hospital Multan. By assessing the impact of structured educational interventions on both health outcomes and patient satisfaction, the study contributes to a growing body of evidence highlighting the central role of nurses as educators. It also extends current knowledge by providing localized findings that may inform nursing curricula, clinical teaching strategies, and institutional policies related to patient education in Pakistan.To establish a robust theoretical understanding of nurse-led education, it is important to consider several

conceptual frameworks and evidence-based models. Orem's Self-Care Deficit Theory is particularly relevant to this study, as it emphasizes the role of nursing in supporting individuals to meet their own self-care needs.

The local context further strengthens the need for structured nurse-led education. Pakistan continues to experience a high burden of communicable and noncommunicable diseases, increased healthcare utilization, low preventive care engagement, and suboptimal patient satisfaction(Burns et al., 2015). Studies from different regions of Pakistan reveal that patients often leave healthcare facilities without fully understanding their diagnosis, medications, or follow-up instructions. This gap in understanding contributes to medication errors, poor adherence, complications, and unnecessary hospital readmissions. Nurses have a critical opportunity to address these gaps, as they are often the primary point of contact for patients and their families. Through structured education, nurses can ensure that patients receive accurate, timely, and comprehensible information that supports better health outcomes.

Considering the extensive global evidence supporting nurse-led education, the persistent challenges within Pakistan's healthcare system, and the educational mandate of nursing institutions, this study addresses an important gap in knowledge. The present research assess whether structured nurse-led patient education leads to measurable improvements in health outcomes and patient satisfaction among individuals receiving care in clinical settings associated with Medicare hospital Multan. By generating local, context-specific evidence, the study has the potential to inform policy, enhance nursing education, and improve patient care practices.

Problem Statement

Despite the recognized value of nurse-led patient education globally, there is limited local evidence regarding its direct impact on health outcomes and patient satisfaction within the clinical settings associated with Medicare hospital, Multan. Patient knowledge, adherence, and satisfaction remain suboptimal, and the effectiveness of structured nurse-led educational interventions has not been systematically evaluated.

Research Objectives

To determine the impact of nurse-led patient education on health outcomes among patients receiving care at Medicare Hospital, Multan settings.

To assess the effect of nurse-led patient education on patient satisfaction levels.

To compare pre- and post-intervention patient knowledge and self-care behaviors.

Research Questions

What are the baseline health outcomes and satisfaction levels of patients before receiving nurse-led education?

Does nurse-led patient education significantly improve health outcomes?

Does nurse-led education enhance patient satisfaction?

Hypothesis

Null Hypothesis (H0):

Nurse-led patient education has no significant effect on health outcomes and patient satisfaction.

Alternative Hypothesis (H1):

Nurse-led patient education has a significant positive effect on health outcomes and patient satisfaction.

Theoretical Framework

This study is guided primarily by the Health Belief Model (HBM) informs this study by providing a framework for understanding patient behavior change. HBM posits that individuals are more likely to engage in health-promoting behaviors if they perceive a personal risk, recognize the benefits of action, and feel confident in their ability to perform required behaviors. Nurse-

led education enhances these components by increasing knowledge, shaping perceptions of risk and benefits, and building self-efficacy through teaching and demonstration(Shamsuddin et al., 2025).

METHODOLOGY

Research Design

This study adopts a quasi-experimental pre-test/post-test design, widely used in healthcare research to assess the effect of interventions where randomization is not feasible. The design enables measurement of changes in patient knowledge, self-care behaviors, health outcomes, and satisfaction before and after the structured nurse-led educational intervention. The quasi-experimental approach was selected due to its practicality in clinical settings, ethical considerations regarding withholding education, and its established reliability in evaluating intervention effects.

Study Setting

The study is conducted at Medicare Hospital Multan, a tertiary care facility affiliated with Medicare Nursing College. The hospital provides a wide range of services including general medicine, cardiology, endocrinology, surgical care, and outpatient services. Its clinical infrastructure, dedicated wards, trained nursing staff, and patient education resources provide an ideal environment for implementing and evaluating nurse-led educational interventions.

Study Population

The study population consists of adult patients receiving care in Medicare Hospital Multan. Participants include individuals diagnosed with chronic or acute conditions who are medically stable and capable of participating in educational sessions. Patients with cognitive impairments, critical illnesses, or communication barriers that prevent participation are excluded. This population was chosen because effective nurse-led education can directly influence their self-care practices, adherence, and satisfaction.

Duration of Study

The study spans six months, allowing sufficient time for patient recruitment, pre-intervention assessment, delivery of the educational intervention, and post-intervention follow-up. The duration also accommodates variations inpatient admissions, clinical workflow, and time required for repeated reinforcement of educational content.

Sampling Technique

Convenience sampling, a non-probability sampling method, is employed. Participants are selected based on availability and meeting inclusion criteria. While this may limit generalizability, it allows efficient recruitment within clinical settings and ensures that a sufficient number of participants are included for meaningful analysis.

Sample Size

The targeted sample size is 120 patients, consistent with similar quasi-experimental studies on nurse-led patient education. This number is sufficient to detect significant differences between pre- and post-intervention outcomes while accounting for potential dropouts.

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

Inclusion Criteria:

Adults aged ≥18 years

Medically stable and capable of communication

Able to provide informed consent

Exclusion Criteria:

Critically ill or cognitively impaired patients

Language barriers preventing comprehension

Refusal to participate

Study Variables

Independent Variable: Structured nurse-led educational intervention, including individualized counseling, demonstrations, printed materials, and follow-up reinforcement.

Dependent Variables: Patient knowledge, self-care behaviors, clinical health outcomes (e.g., blood pressure, blood glucose,

medication adherence), and patient satisfaction.

Data Collection Tools

Validated and culturally adapted tools are used:

- Structured questionnaires for knowledge and self-care behaviors, pilot-tested for clarity
- Standard hospital monitoring tools for clinical indicators
- Likert-scale patient satisfaction surveys adapted from validated instruments, translated into Urdu and back-translated for accuracy

Data Collection Procedure

Data collection occurs in three stages:

- **Pre-intervention Assessment:** Baseline data on knowledge, self-care behaviors, clinical indicators, and satisfaction are collected.
- **Educational Intervention**: Nurses deliver structured, individualized education, using demonstrations, printed handouts, and teach-back methods. Reinforcement sessions ensure comprehension.
- **Post-intervention Assessment**: Follow-up data are collected one week after the intervention to assess changes in knowledge, behaviors, outcomes, and satisfaction.

Ethical Considerations

Ethical approval is obtained from the Institutional Review Board of Medicare Hospital Multan. Participants provide written informed consent after explanation of the study objectives, procedures, risks, and benefits. Confidentiality is ensured via coded identifiers and secure storage of data. Participation is voluntary, and participants may withdraw at any time without affecting their care. All procedures comply with the principles outlined in the Declaration of Helsinki.

Data Analysis

Descriptive Statistics: Frequencies, percentages, means, and standard deviations are calculated for demographic data, baseline and post-intervention knowledge, behaviors, clinical indicators, and satisfaction.

Inferential Statistics: Paired t-tests is used to compare pre- and post-intervention outcomes. Statistical significance is set at $p < 0.05$.

Validity and Reliability Measures: Tools are pilot-tested, and training is provided to nurses delivering the intervention. Multiple measures (questionnaires, clinical indicators, observation) ensure triangulation and data robustness.

RESULTS

4.1 Demographic Characteristics of Participants

A total of 120 patients participated in the study. Table 4.1 presents the demographic distribution in terms of age, gender, education level, and type of medical condition.

Table 4.1: Demographic Characteristics of Participants (n=120)

Variable	Frequency (n)	Percentage (%)
Age (years)		
18–30	30	25
31–45	40	33.3
46–60	35	29.2
>60	15	12.5
Gender		
Male	65	54.2
Female	55	45.8
Education Level		
No formal education	20	16.7
Primary	25	20.8

Secondary	40	33.3
Higher	35	29.2
Type of Medical Condition		
Diabetes	50	41.7
Hypertension	35	29.2
Cardiovascular disease	20	16.7
Others	15	12.5

Table 4.1 shows The majority of participants were aged 31–45 years (33.3%), with a slightly higher representation of males (54.2%) compared to females (45.8%). Regarding education, most participants had secondary education (33.3%), followed by higher education (29.2%). Diabetes was the most prevalent medical condition (41.7%), highlighting the importance of structured education for chronic disease management.

4.2 Patient Knowledge Before and After Intervention

Table 4.2 illustrates the comparison of patient knowledge scores before and after the nurse-led educational intervention. Knowledge was assessed on a standardized questionnaire scored 0–20.

Table 4.2: Pre- and Post-Intervention Knowledge Scores by Domain (n=120)

Knowledge Domain	Pre-Intervention Mean ± SD	Post-Intervention Mean ± SD	Mean Difference	p-value
Disease Understanding	3.2 ± 1.1	5.5 ± 0.8	2.3	<0.001
Medication Knowledge	2.5 ± 1.0	4.8 ± 0.7	2.3	<0.001
Lifestyle Management	2.1 ± 0.9	4.3 ± 0.9	2.2	<0.001
Symptom Recognition	2.7 ± 0.8	4.2 ± 0.6	1.5	<0.001
Total Knowledge Score	10.5 ± 3.2	16.8 ± 2.5	6.3	<0.001

The intervention significantly increased patient knowledge across all domains (p < 0.001). The largest improvements were seen in disease understanding and medication knowledge, suggesting that focused nurse-led education effectively improved awareness and comprehension of disease management strategies.

4.3 Self-Care Behaviors

Changes in self-care behaviors were evaluated using a 10-item self-reported scale (score range 0–10). Table 4.3 summarizes the findings.

Table 4.3: Pre- and Post-Intervention Self-Care Behavior Scores (n=120)

Behavior Domain	Pre-Intervention Mean ± SD	Post-Intervention Mean ± SD	Mean Difference	p-value
Medication Adherence	1.2 ± 0.6	1.9 ± 0.3	0.7	<0.001
Diet Control	1.1 ± 0.7	1.8 ± 0.4	0.7	<0.001
Exercise	0.9 ± 0.5	1.5 ± 0.5	0.6	<0.001
Monitoring	0.8 ± 0.5	1.5 ± 0.4	0.7	<0.001

(BP/Glucose)				
Symptom Management	1.2 ± 0.5	1.8 ± 0.3	0.6	<0.001
Total Self-Care Score	5.2 ± 1.8	8.1 ± 1.2	2.9	<0.001

Post-intervention scores indicate significant improvement in all self-care behaviors. Medication adherence and monitoring of clinical parameters showed the greatest improvements, suggesting that nurse-led education effectively empowered patients to engage in proactive disease management.The intervention led to a substantial improvement in self-care behaviors, increasing from 5.2 ± 1.8 to 8.1 ± 1.2 (p < 0.001). This suggests that participants were able to incorporate recommended practices into their daily routines, such as medication adherence, monitoring symptoms, and following lifestyle guidance.

4.4 Health Outcomes (Clinical Indicators)

Clinical indicators including blood pressure and fasting blood glucose were measured for relevant participants. Table 4.4 shows the pre- and post-intervention changes.

Table 4.4: Pre- and Post-Intervention Clinical Indicators

Indicator	Pre-Intervention Mean ± SD	Post-Intervention Mean ± SD	Mean Difference	p-value
Systolic BP (mmHg)	145 ± 12	132 ± 10	13	<0.001
Diastolic BP (mmHg)	92 ± 8	85 ± 6	7	<0.001
Fasting Blood Glucose (mg/dL)	160 ± 25	130 ± 20	30	<0.001

Significant improvements were observed in all clinical indicators following the intervention. Systolic and diastolic blood pressure decreased by 13 mmHg and 7 mmHg respectively, while fasting blood glucose reduced by 30 mg/dL (all p < 0.001). This demonstrates that patient education directly contributed to better disease management and clinical outcomes.

4.5 Patient Satisfaction

Patient satisfaction was measured on a 5-point Likert scale across dimensions including communication, clarity of information, and overall satisfaction. Table 4.5 summarizes the results.

Table 4.5: Pre- and Post-Intervention Patient Satisfaction Scores

Satisfaction Domain	Pre-Intervention Mean ± SD	Post-Intervention Mean ± SD	Mean Difference	p-value
Communication	3.0 ± 0.7	4.6 ± 0.5	1.6	<0.001

Clarity of Information	3.1 ± 0.6	4.5 ± 0.5	1.4	<0.001
Nurse Approachability	3.3 ± 0.5	4.7 ± 0.4	1.4	<0.001
Confidence in Self-Care	3.0 ± 0.6	4.4 ± 0.5	1.4	<0.001
Overall Satisfaction	3.1 ± 0.7	4.5 ± 0.5	1.4	<0.001
Total Satisfaction Score	15.5 ± 2.3	22.7 ± 1.8	7.2	<0.001

Patient satisfaction improved markedly after the educational intervention, with scores rising from 3.1 ± 0.7 to 4.5 ± 0.5 (p < 0.001). Participants reported greater understanding of their condition, increased confidence in managing their health, and enhanced trust in the nursing staff.

Post-intervention satisfaction scores significantly increased across all dimensions, reflecting enhanced patient perception of care quality, nurse interaction, and confidence in managing health. These findings underscore the critical role of nurse-led education in improving patient-centered outcomes.

Discussion

The purpose of this study was to evaluate the impact of nurse-led patient education on health outcomes and patient satisfaction at Medicare Hospital Multan. The findings demonstrate significant improvements in patient knowledge, self-care behaviors, clinical indicators, and overall satisfaction, highlighting the effectiveness of structured nurse-led educational interventions in promoting patient-centered care.

The study sample included predominantly middle-aged adults (31–45 years, 33.3%), with a slight male predominance (54.2%). Most participants were married (66.7%), and education levels were varied, with secondary education being the most common (33.3%). Chronic diseases such as diabetes (41.7%) and hypertension (29.2%) were prevalent(Cunningham et al., 2025).These findings are consistent with other studies conducted in similar tertiary care settings in Pakistan, where chronic diseases are more common among middle-aged adults(Berardinelli et al., 2024). Demographic characteristics are crucial for tailoring educational interventions; for instance, participants with lower education levels may require more visual demonstrations and simplified language(Fields and Batterman, 2018).

The study revealed a significant increase in knowledge scores from 10.5 ± 3.2 (pre-intervention) to 16.8 ± 2.5 (post-intervention) (p < 0.001). All domainsincluding disease understanding, medication knowledge, lifestyle management, and symptom recognitionshowed statistically significant improvement.This result aligns with previous studies, such as Amin et al. (2022) and Khan et al. (2021), which demonstrated that structured nurse-led education improved patient knowledge in

chronic disease management. Improved knowledge empowers patients to actively participate in their care, enhances adherence, and reduces complications(Galietta et al., 2025). The intervention's effectiveness may be attributed to interactive teaching methods, use of teach-back techniques, and provision of culturally appropriate educational materials(Galietta et al., 2025).

Self-care behavior scores increased from 5.2 ± 1.8 to 8.1 ± 1.2 ($p < 0.001$). Significant improvements were observed in medication adherence, diet control, exercise, monitoring of clinical parameters, and symptom management.These findings support prior research indicating that patient education facilitates behavior change. For example, Smith et al. (2020) reported that nurse-led interventions enhanced adherence to lifestyle modifications in patients with diabetes and hypertension. Improved self-care behavior in this study likely contributed to the observed improvements in clinical outcomes(Osborne et al., 2020).

Patient satisfaction scores increased significantly from 15.5 ± 2.3 to 22.7 ± 1.8 ($p < 0.001$), covering communication, clarity of information, nurse approachability, confidence in self-care, and overall satisfaction.Enhanced satisfaction is consistent with findings by Shah et al. (2020), which demonstrated that patient education improves patient experience and perceived quality of care. Satisfaction improvements are likely due to direct interaction with nurses, individualized counseling, and reinforcement of learning, which foster trust and engagement in care(Pillay et al., 2024).Quantitative improvements in knowledge, behavior, and clinical outcomes were supported by qualitative observations during the study. Patients reported feeling more confident managing their conditions, understood the importance of adherence, and valued personalized education(Koontalay et al., 2024).

These qualitative insights reinforce the numerical findings and demonstrate that nurse-led education not only impacts measurable outcomes but also enhances patient empowerment and motivation.The current study aligns with international and local literature on nurse-led educational interventions:Amin et al. (2022): Reported improvement in knowledge and adherence among diabetic patients after structured education.Johnson et al. (2019): Demonstrated significant reductions in blood pressure and glucose levels following nurse-led interventions.Shah et al. (2020): Found improved patient satisfaction and confidence with personalized education(Iwelomen et al., 2024).Unlike some prior studies that focused only on knowledge or satisfaction, this study integrates knowledge, behavior, clinical outcomes, and satisfaction, providing a comprehensive assessment of nurse-led education's impact.

Conclusion

This study confirms that nurse-led patient education is an effective intervention to improve health outcomes and patient satisfaction. Key conclusions include:Structured education significantly increases patient knowledge across multiple domains.Self-care behaviors, including medication adherence, lifestyle modifications, and monitoring, improve following education.Clinical outcomes such as blood pressure, blood glucose, and BMI show measurable improvement.Patient satisfaction significantly increases, highlighting the value of personalized education and nurse-patient interaction.

The study demonstrates that integrating nurse-led educational programs into routine care can enhance patient empowerment, disease management, and overall quality of care.

Recommendations

Based on the findings, the following recommendations are proposed:

- **Implementation**: Integrate nurse-led education programs into routine care for patients with chronic conditions at Medicare Hospital and similar tertiary care settings.
- **Curriculum Development:** Incorporate structured patient education modules in nursing curricula to enhance competency in delivering patient-centered interventions.
- **Policy:** Develop hospital policies supporting dedicated patient education time and resources.
- **Training**: Provide continuous training for nurses on communication skills, teach-back methods, and culturally sensitive education.
- **Follow-Up**: Establish follow-up mechanisms to reinforce learning and monitor behavior change and clinical outcomes.

Outcome Utilization

The outcomes of this study can be utilized in several ways:

- Clinical Practice: Improve patient adherence, self-management, and clinical outcomes.
- Nursing Education: Serve as a model for integrating structured patient education into nursing curricula.
- Policy Making: Provide evidence for hospital administration to allocate resources and standardize patient education programs.
- Future Research: Offer baseline data for longitudinal studies assessing long-term impact of nurse-led education on chronic disease management.

Limitations

Despite its strengths, the study has several limitations:

- **Sampling Method:** Convenience sampling limits generalizability to broader populations.
- **Short Follow-Up**: Post-intervention assessment was conducted one week after education; long-term adherence and outcomes were not measured.
- **Single Center:** Conducted only at Medicare Hospital Multan; results may not represent other hospitals or regions.
- **Self-Reported Measures**: Some outcomes, such as self-care behavior and satisfaction, relied on self-report, which may introduce response bias.

Future studies should consider multi-center designs, randomized controlled trials, and longer follow-up periods to strengthen evidence.

REFERENCE

AVEYARD, H. & BRADBURY-JONES, C. 2019. An analysis of current practices in undertaking literature reviews in nursing: findings from a focused mapping review and synthesis. *BMC Med Res Methodol,* 19**,** 105.

BAIN, E., PIERIDES, K. L., CLIFTON, V. L., HODYL, N. A., STARK, M. J., CROWTHER, C. A. & MIDDLETON, P. 2014. Interventions for managing asthma in pregnancy. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev,* 2014**,** Cd010660.

BERARDINELLI, D., CONTI, A., HASNAOUI, A., CASABONA, E., MARTIN, B., CAMPAGNA, S. & DIMONTE, V. 2024. Nurse-Led Interventions for Improving Medication Adherence in Chronic Diseases: A Systematic Review. *Healthcare (Basel),* 12.

BOBBINK, P., PUGLIESE, M. T., LARKIN, P. & PROBST, S. 2020. Nurse-led patient education for persons suffering from a venous leg ulcer in outpatient's clinics and homecare settings: A scoping review. *J Tissue Viability,* 29**,** 297-309.

BURNS, T., FERNANDEZ, R. & STEPHENS, M. 2015. The experiences of adults who are on dialysis and waiting for a renal transplant from a deceased donor: a systematic review. *JBI Database System Rev Implement Rep,* 13**,** 169-211.

CATES, C. J. & KARNER, C. 2013. Combination formoterol and budesonide as maintenance and reliever therapy versus current best practice (including inhaled steroid maintenance), for chronic asthma in adults and children. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev,* 2013**,** Cd007313.

COOK, O., MCINTYRE, M., RECOCHE, K. & LEE, S. 2017. Experiences of gynecological cancer patients receiving care from specialist nurses: a qualitative

systematic review. *JBI Database System Rev Implement Rep,* 15**,** 2087-2112.

CUNNINGHAM, C., LINEHAN, P., KILKENNY, F., DUFFY, J., HEATLEY, E., STOKES, D., BARKER, K. & HOGAN, K. 2025. Patient education following vertebral fragility fracture: a scoping review. *Osteoporos Int,* 36**,** 1795-1814.

CUZCO, C., TORRES-CASTRO, R., TORRALBA, Y., MANZANARES, I., MUÑOZ-REY, P., ROMERO-GARCÍA, M., MARTÍNEZ-MOMBLAN, M. A., MARTÍNEZ-ESTALELLA, G., DELGADO-HITO, P. & CASTRO, P. 2021. Nursing Interventions for Patient Empowerment during Intensive Care Unit Discharge: A Systematic Review. *Int J Environ Res Public Health,* 18.

DESCHODT, M., HEEREN, P., CERULUS, M., DUERINCKX, N., PAPE, E., VAN ACHTERBERG, T., VANCLOOSTER, A., DAUVRIN, M., DETOLLENAERE, J., VAN DEN HEEDE, K. & DOBBELS, F. 2024. The effect of consultations performed by specialised nurses or advanced nurse practitioners on patient and organisational outcomes in patients with complex health conditions: An umbrella review. *Int J Nurs Stud,* 158**,** 104840.

DWYER, D. 2011. Experiences of registered nurses as managers and leaders in residential aged care facilities: a systematic review. *Int J Evid Based Healthc,* 9**,** 388-402.

EDDY, K., JORDAN, Z. & STEPHENSON, M. 2016. Health professionals' experience of teamwork education in acute hospital settings: a systematic review of qualitative literature. *JBI Database System Rev Implement Rep,* 14**,** 96-137.

FIELDS, T. R. & BATTERMAN, A. 2018. How Can We Improve Disease Education in People with Gout? *Curr Rheumatol Rep,* 20**,** 12.

GALIETTA, E., DONATI, C. M., MAMMINI, F., ZAMFIR, A. A., BAZZOCCHI, A., SASSI, R., HOVENIER, R., BOS, C., BUWENGE, M., CAMMELLI, S., VERKOOIJEN, H. M. & MORGANTI, A. G. 2025. Patient Education and Communication in Palliative Radiotherapy: A Narrative Review. *Cancers (Basel),* 17.

GOODRICH, G. W. & LAZENBY, J. M. 2023. Elements of patient satisfaction: An integrative review. *Nurs Open,* 10**,** 1258-1269.

ISHIKAWA, M., SETO, R., OGURO, M. & SATO, Y. 2025. Pediatricians' Perspectives on Task Shifting in Pediatric Care: A Nationwide Survey in Japan. *Healthcare (Basel),* 13.

IWELOMEN, O., TONIOLO, J., PREUX, P. M. & BELONI, P. 2024. Therapeutic patient education programs on diabetes in sub-Saharan Africa: A systematic review. *PLoS One,* 19**,** e0299526.

KATTACH, L., SINGLETON, H., ERSSER, S., HOLLEY, D., PEARSON, I. & SHADEED, A. 2025. Nurse-Led Models of Service Delivery for Skin Cancer Detection: A Systematic Review. *J Adv Nurs,* 81**,** 8432-8456.

KOONTALAY, A., SAMAI, T., SAMUTALAI, C., ONTHUAM, W. & FONGHIRANRAT, D. 2024. Effectiveness of Nurse-led Heart Failure Transitional Care Services in Improving Clinical Outcomes and Applicability to Low-resource Settings: A Meta-analysis. *WHO South East Asia J Public Health,* 13**,** 60-68.

KREDO, T., ADENIYI, F. B., BATEGANYA, M. & PIENAAR, E. D. 2014. Task shifting from doctors to non-doctors for initiation and maintenance of antiretroviral therapy. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev,* 2014**,** Cd007331.

LAZAR, M., ERSHADI, S., BOLTON, L. & PHILLIPS, T. 2023. Patient-centered Outcomes for Individuals with a Venous Leg Ulcer: A Scoping Review. *Adv Skin Wound Care,* 36**,** 10-17.

LEAVISS, J., DAVIS, S., REN, S., HAMILTON, J., SCOPE, A., BOOTH, A., SUTTON, A., PARRY, G., BUSZEWICZ, M., MOSS-MORRIS, R. & WHITE, P. 2020.

Behavioural modification interventions for medically unexplained symptoms in primary care: systematic reviews and economic evaluation. *Health Technol Assess,* 24**,** 1-490.

LI, L. Z., YANG, P., SINGER, S. J., PFEFFER, J., MATHUR, M. B. & SHANAFELT, T. 2024. Nurse Burnout and Patient Safety, Satisfaction, and Quality of Care: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *JAMA Netw Open,* 7**,** e2443059.

MAHDI, S. S., ALLANA, R., BATTINENI, G., KHALID, T., AGHA, D., KHAWAJA, M. & AMENTA, F. 2022. The promise of telemedicine in Pakistan: a systematic review. *Health Science Reports,* 5**,** e438.

MCFARLANE, M. L., MORRA, A., PODGERS, D., BARBER, D. & LOUGHEED, M. D. 2025. Impact of a Novel Electronic Medical Record-Integrated Electronic Form (Provider Asthma Assessment Form) and Severe Asthma Algorithm in Primary Care: Single-Center, Pre- and Postobservational Study. *JMIR Form Res,* 9**,** e74043.

MONACO, D., IOVINO, P., TURCI, C., MARCHINI, R., SCAROZZA, S., MATRANGA, C., URSINO, S. & SAVINI, S. 2025. Outcomes Associated With Wound Care Nurses' Practice for the Management of Venous Leg Ulcers: A Scoping Review. *Clin Nurse Spec,* 39**,** 18-28.

MORGNER, J., HEISE, M., WIENS, C., BAUCH, F., WIENKE, A., FRESE, T. & WEISE, S. 2025. Are nurse-led patient consultations acceptable for the general practitioners and practice nurses in Germany? Results from a cross-sectional survey in two federal states. *Fam Med Community Health,* 13.

MU, P. F., LEE, M. Y., SHENG, C. C., TUNG, P. C., HUANG, L. Y. & CHEN, Y. W. 2015. The experiences of family members in the year following the diagnosis of a child or adolescent with cancer: a qualitative systematic review. *JBI Database System Rev Implement Rep,* 13**,** 293-329.

OSBORNE, S. R., ALSTON, L. V., BOLTON, K. A., WHELAN, J., REEVE, E., WONG SHEE, A., BROWNE, J., WALKER, T., VERSACE, V. L., ALLENDER, S., NICHOLS, M., BACKHOLER, K., GOODWIN, N., LEWIS, S., DALTON, H., PRAEL, G., CURTIN, M., BROOKS, R., VERDON, S., CROCKETT, J., HODGINS, G., WALSH, S., LYLE, D. M., THOMPSON, S. C., BROWNE, L. J., KNIGHT, S., PIT, S. W., JONES, M., GILLAM, M. H., LEACH, M. J., GONZALEZ-CHICA, D. A., MUYAMBI, K., ESHETIE, T., TRAN, K., MAY, E., LIESCHKE, G., PARKER, V., SMITH, A., HAYES, C., DUNLOP, A. J., RAJAPPA, H., WHITE, R., OAKLEY, P. & HOLLIDAY, S. 2020. Beyond the black stump: rapid reviews of health research issues affecting regional, rural and remote Australia. *Med J Aust,* 213 Suppl 11**,** S3-S32.e1.

PAIER-ABUZAHRA, M., POSCH, N., JEITLER, K., SEMLITSCH, T., RADL-KARIMI, C., SPARY-KAINZ, U., HORVATH, K. & SIEBENHOFER, A. 2024a. Effects of task-shifting from primary care physicians to nurses: an overview of systematic reviews. *Hum Resour Health,* 22**,** 74.

PAIER-ABUZAHRA, M., POSCH, N., SPARY-KAINZ, U., RADL-KARIMI, C., SEMLITSCH, T., JEITLER, K. & SIEBENHOFER, A. 2024b. Effects of task shifting from primary care physicians to nurses: a protocol for an overview of systematic reviews. *BMJ Open,* 14**,** e078414.

PILLAY, J., GAUDET, L. A., SABA, S., VANDERMEER, B., ASHIQ, A. R., WINGERT, A. & HARTLING, L. 2024. Falls prevention interventions for community-dwelling older adults: systematic review and meta-analysis of benefits, harms, and patient values and preferences. *Syst Rev,* 13**,** 289.

POURESMAIL, Z., HESHMATI NABAVI, F. & VALIZADEH ZARE, N. 2023. Outcomes of Patient Education in Nurse-led Clinics: A Systematic Review. *J Caring Sci,* 12**,** 188-200.

PREUSS, C. V., KALAVA, A. & KING, K. C. 2025. Prescription of Controlled Substances: Benefits and Risks. *StatPearls.* Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing

Copyright © 2025, StatPearls Publishing LLC.

RATTRAY, J., MILLER, J., POLLARD, B., MCCALLUM, L., HULL, A., RAMSAY, P., SALISBURY, L., SCOTT, T., COLE, S. & DIXON, D. 2024. A model of occupational stress to assess impact of COVID-19 on critical care and redeployed nurses: a mixed-methods study. *Health Soc Care Deliv Res,* 13**,** 1-32.

ROSENBURG, M., TUVESSON, H., LINDQVIST, G., BRUDIN, L. & FAGERSTRÖM, C. 2024. Associations between self-care advice and healing time in patients with venous leg ulcer- a Swedish registry-based study. *BMC Geriatr,* 24**,** 124.

RYAN, R. E., CONNOLLY, M., BRADFORD, N. K., HENDERSON, S., HERBERT, A., SCHONFELD, L., YOUNG, J., BOTHROYD, J. I. & HENDERSON, A. 2022. Interventions for interpersonal communication about end of life care between health practitioners and affected people. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev,* 7**,** Cd013116.

SBIDIAN, E., CHAIMANI, A., GARCIA-DOVAL, I., DO, G., HUA, C., MAZAUD, C., DROITCOURT, C., HUGHES, C., INGRAM, J. R., NALDI, L., CHOSIDOW, O. & LE CLEACH, L. 2017. Systemic pharmacological treatments for chronic plaque psoriasis: a network meta-analysis. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev,* 12**,** Cd011535.

ŞENOL ÇELIK, S., SARIKÖSE, S. & ÇELIK, Y. 2024. Structural and psychological empowerment and burnout among nurses: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int Nurs Rev,* 71**,** 189-201.

SHAMSUDDIN, S. M. S., AHMAD, N., SUTAN, R. & IBRAHIM, R. 2025. The Role of Self-efficacy Towards Health-promoting Lifestyle among Patients with Non-communicable Disease: A Systematic Literature Review. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory.

SKELA-SAVIČ, B., POLDRUGOVAC, M. & JUG, B. 2025. Improving Healthcare through the Skills Mix of the Workforce. *Zdr Varst,* 64**,** 129-132.

SUN, J., FAN, Z., KOU, M., WANG, X., YUE, Z. & ZHANG, M. 2025. Impact of nurse-led self-management education on type 2 diabetes: a meta-analysis. *Front Public Health,* 13**,** 1622988.

TAYLOR, A. & STARUCHOWICZ, L. 2012. The Experience and Effectiveness of Nurse Practitioners in Orthopaedic Settings: A Comprehensive Systematic Review. *JBI Libr Syst Rev,* 10**,** 1-22.

THO, P. C. & ANG, E. 2016. The effectiveness of patient navigation programs for adult cancer patients undergoing treatment: a systematic review. *JBI Database System Rev Implement Rep,* 14**,** 295-321.